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Why offshore wind energy in Belgium?

Offshore wind energy in the North Sea: Belgium and its bordering states

MSP in Belgium: offshore wind energy & shipping

Offshore wind energy/shipping and the law of the sea

Conclusion

2. More than 50% of GHG emissions in Belgium are due to energy consumption and energy transfers

3. Belgium is a net importer of electricity

4. Belgium mainly relies on electricity production from nuclear installations (51, 8% production in 2009: 42.722 GWh of total production of 91.222 GWh; solar energy was 166 GWh and wind energy was 996 GWh in 2009: 1,3%). Final political decision to step out of nuclear energy is still to be taken.

- 20% reduction GHG below 1990 levels by 2020 (30% if intern. agreement)
  Directive 2009/29/EC (redistributed among MS)

- 20% renewable energy by 2020 + 10% minimum target in renewable transport:
  Directive 2009/28/EC
  Renewable energy target is distributed among MS based on GDP, investment in renewable energy prior to 2005 and standard increase in renewable energy (e.g. Belgium 13% (level 2005: 2.2%) - Sweden 49% (level 2005: 39.8%)).

- 20% reduction in primary energy use compared with projected levels, to be achieved by improving energy efficiency

- Wind energy on land in Belgium: NIMBY syndrome
Offshore wind energy Belgium

Wind farms Thornton Bank area in Belgian EEZ: planned 2 GW (2000 MW)
Offshore wind energy North Sea

- Today, the North Sea has the highest installed offshore wind energy capacity in the world, in particular in the southern part

- It is expected that Belgian, Danish, British, German and Dutch offshore wind farms will produce around 32 GW in 2020, mainly in the North Sea (of which 2 GW)

- Denmark and UK expect a production of respectively 4,6 GW and 33 GW in 2025, and Germany 25 GW in 2030, depending on economic and financial conditions
MSP in Belgium
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Off shore wind farms

Source: MUMM

6 domain concessions (20 y + 10 y)
- C-Power in 2003
- Eldepasco (Northwind) in 2006
- Belwind in 2007
- RenteL in 2009
- Northern in 2009
- Seastar.

3 environmental permits
Belwind, Eldepasco en C-Power

Continuous monitoring program
at the expense of the operator for the period of the concession

- Expected production of 2 GW on 270 km² (Windspeed), or 6,6 TWh (= CO₂ emission reduction of 7% of gross electricity consumption)
## Wind farms licensed (BE)

### Current Projects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Windmills</th>
<th>Capacity (MW)</th>
<th>Area (KM²)</th>
<th>Distance to Coast (m)</th>
<th>Concession</th>
<th>Environmental Impact Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C-Power II</td>
<td>Thorntonbank (I: 6<em>SMW; II: 30</em>6MW; III: 18*5MW)</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>13.7-18.1</td>
<td>5-25</td>
<td>27-30</td>
<td>Concession granted by the State Secretary for Energy on 27.06.03.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belwind</td>
<td>Bligh Bank</td>
<td>55-110</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>15-37</td>
<td>46-52</td>
<td>Concession granted by the State Secretary for Energy on 05.06.07.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eldepasco (Northwind)</td>
<td>Bank zonder naam (72*SMW)</td>
<td>36-72</td>
<td>108-216</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Concession granted by the State Secretary for Energy on 15.06.06.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Distance turbines:** 500 - 650 meter

**Investment for 55 mills (phase I – 165 MW): € 613 million.**

---
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Incentives for offshore wind energy (BE)

The manager of the transmission net (ELIA) is obliged to buy back all green certificates offered for a guaranteed minimum price. This price is during 20 years, € 107 per MWh electricity produced by the first installed 216 MW of each concession, and € 90 for the following production.

Furthermore, the costs for cables connecting the wind turbines with the shore are subsidized for 1/3, with a ceiling € 25 million per project.
Transboundary MSP: opportunities for offshore wind energy

Preparatory Action on Maritime Spatial Planning in the North Sea
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And what about shipping?
Shipping and wind farms: risk assessment

Belwind, Northwind, Cpower & Norther (4): 1 nm around wind parks (MARIN, 2011)

Belwind, Northwind, SEASTAR, Northwind, RENTEL, C-Power & Norther (6): 1 nm around wind parks (MARIN, 2011)
Wind mills & shipping: new risks?

- Collisions and drifts.

- Expected risks for collision/drifts in Norther (North Sea Power) wind park: 11-12 year, depending on scenario. Belwind park in the north is comparable.

- Other zones: average every 25 year.

- Cumulative collision/drifts for all parks together = \textbf{4 to 5 years}.

- Question of compensation in case of damage to wind mills
Wind mills & shipping: risk of oil pollution

- **Belgian part of the North Sea** without offshore wind mills, accidental risk is every 42 years for bunker oil and every 121 years for cargo oil. Total risk = once every 31 years (MARIN, 2011)

- In the **Belgian concession zone** with 6 parks (MARIN, 2011):
  - Bunker oil: 457-511 year
  - Cargo oil: 2.185-2.474 year

  Total: 378-423 year
Territorial sea (TS) (12 NM)
- sovereignty coastal state (art. 2.2), with exception of right of innocent passage for foreign ships (art. 17-32) and right of transit passage in straits used for international navigation (art. 34-45)
- for the safety of navigation, a coastal state can impose the use of specific sea lanes and traffic separation schemes (art. 22.1), but shall take into account recommendations of the IMO, any channels customarily used for international navigation, special characteristics of particular ships and channels, and the density of traffic (art. 22.3). There is a duty to clearly indicate those lanes and TSS on charts and ensure their publicity (art. 22.4)
Exclusive economic zone (EEZ):

- Coastal states have sovereign **rights** (exploitation rights), such as the production of energy from the water, currents and winds (art. 56.1), including the exclusive right to construct and to authorize the construction, operation and use of ... (b) installations and structures for the purpose i.a. production of wind energy of which due notice must be given of the construction and their presence (art. 60)

- Installations and structures may not be established where interference may be caused to the use of **recognized sea lanes essential to international navigation** (art. 60.7).

- Are recognized sea lanes essential to international navigation limited to the ones accepted by IMO (deep water routes and TSS)? State practice in the North Sea is not clear so far.
Exclusive economic zone (EEZ):
- All states enjoy the **freedom** of navigation, freedom of overflight and freedom of the laying of cables and pipelines (art. 58). In the EEZ navigation can only be controlled by the coastal state as a result of the exercising of its sovereign rights.
- A coastal state may establish **safety zones**, in which it may take appropriate measures to ensure both the safety of navigation and of the installations/structures (art. 60.4). Those zones are to be reasonable related to the nature and function of the installations/structures and shall not exceed a distance of 500 meters around them, measured from each point of their outer edge, **except** if authorized by generally accepted standards or as recommended by IMO (art. 60.5)
State practice in the North Sea

- In UK and Denmark shipping is allowed in the parks, although limitations are possible (such as prohibition).

- In Belgium, The Netherlands and Germany the 500 m safety zone around each installation is applied, which often results in shipping prohibition in the parks.

- Intended exceptions in the 500 m zone: governmental ships exercising police tasks, ships from or on behalf of the concession holder (maintenance, repair, ...), ships used for scientific research (monitoring, ...), ships in distress, for the safety of life at sea and in case of force majeure (draft Royal decree – not approved yet).

Offshore wind energy & shipping: UNCLOS
Conclusion

- Can we expect conflicts between shipping and wind energy?
- In Belgium and The Netherlands, and probably most other countries, safety of shipping is top priority (Maspnose).
- However, offshore wind energy became a priority too.
- Both activities require careful planning to avoid accidents and secure safety of shipping