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Taking the broader view
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Maritime
- Headquartered in Hamburg, Germany
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Oil & Gas
- Headquartered in Høvik, Norway
- CEO: Pekka Paasivaara
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An innovation power house

- We invest 5% of our revenue in research & innovation
- We innovate in collaboration with customers, leading universities and external organizations
- We undertake own long-term strategic research and joint industry projects
- Through strategic research we provide foresight and initiate competence building needed for the future
- We provide a long-term view on business and industry solutions
Strategic Research Programs

OIL & GAS AND ENERGY SYSTEMS
- Offshore Safety
- Safety and Reliability of the Subsea factory
- Energy foresight
- Pre-Study on renewables

ARCTIC TECHNOLOGY
- Arctic Offshore Structures
- Arctic Ship Structures
- Arctic Oil Spill Preparedness

MARITIME TECHNOLOGY
- Safer Shipping
- Greener shipping
- Smarter Shipping

HEALTHCARE
- Patient Safety

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY
- Autonomous Systems
- Big Data Analytics of Sensor Data

POWER SYSTEMS AND CERTIFICATION
- Super Grid
- Smart Grid
- Storage

MATERIALS
- Materials in Energy and Storage
- Risk Management of Corrodible Systems
- Advanced Materials and Sensors

CLIMATE CHANGE
- Adaption
- Mitigation
GHG a challenge for mankind

- To avoid the worst impacts of climate change CO₂ equivalent (CO₂e) need to be stabilised between 450 ppm and 550 ppm.

- The aim is to limit the average global warming to 2°C.

- Today's level is 400 ppm CO₂ and is rising 2 ppm each year.
The CO$_2$-challenge for shipping

Emission trajectory for international shipping

- recorded emissions
- scenario A1B, base
- emission targets

- 20% from 2005
- 80% from 1990
- 40%

partly based on: MEPC 59/INF.10
IMO addresses GHG emissions

• The United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) was adopted in 1992 and entered into force in 1994. At the last count, UNFCCC has 196 members and 3 observer parties.

• The Kyoto Protocol was adopted in 1997 to supplement UNFCCC and entered into force in 2005. At the time of writing, the Protocol had 166 Parties. Annex-I-countries are required to reducing their overall greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions by an average of 5.2% below their 1990 level until 2012.

• In December 1997, UNFCCC tasked the “Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice” (SBSTA) to elaborate on the inclusion of emissions from international bunker fuels in the overall inventories of Parties to the UNFCCC.

• In June 2002, SBSTA, invited IMO to report to SBSTA on its activities with regard to the reporting of emissions based upon fuel sold to ships engaged in international transport.

• IMO Assembly resolution A.963(23) (adopted in Dec. 2003) tasked the MEPC to identify and develop the necessary mechanisms needed to achieve limitation or reduction of GHG emissions from ships.
IMO measures to reduce GHG-emissions

- IMO Assembly Resolution A.963(23) sets the starting point for the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions from shipping.

- The following instruments have been developed:
  
  EEDI - Energy Efficiency Design Index
  - as a mandatory technical measure for new ships (various Guidelines)

  EEOI - Energy Efficiency Operational Indicator
  - as a voluntary technical measure for ships in service (first interim Guidelines published in 2005: MEPC/Circ.471; by revised in 2009 as MEPC.1/Circ.684)

  SEEMP - Ship Energy Efficiency Management Plan
  - as a mandatory operational measure for existing ships (first interim Guidelines published in 2009 as MEPC.1/Circ.683; revised by RESOLUTION MEPC-213(63))
Energy Efficiency Indexing – the basic Concept

\[ \text{EE Index} = \frac{\text{Air pollution (emitted CO}_2\text{)}}{\text{Transport work}} \]
The Energy Efficiency Design Index – EEDI

- The EEDI compares costs and benefits to society: CO\textsubscript{2}-emissions and transport work.
  The objective of the EEDI is to increase energy-efficiency of future generations of new vessels.

\[
\text{attained EEDI} = \frac{\text{power} \times \text{spec. fuel cons.} \times \text{emission factor}}{\text{capacity} \times \text{speed}} \leq \text{required EEDI}
\]

- Entire formulae:

\[
\left( \prod_{j=1}^{n} f_j \left( \sum_{i=1}^{nME} P_{ME(i)} \cdot C_{FME(i)} \cdot SFC_{ME(i)} \right) + \left( \prod_{j=1}^{n} f_j \sum_{i=1}^{nPTI} P_{PTI(i)} - \sum_{i=1}^{neff} f_{off(i)} \cdot P_{AEeff(i)} \right) C_{FAE} \cdot SFC_{AE} \right) - \left( \sum_{i=1}^{neff} f_{off(i)} \cdot P_{ AEoff(i) } \cdot C_{FME} \cdot SFC_{ME} \right)
\]

\[
f_i \cdot f_e \cdot \text{Capacity} \cdot f_w \cdot V_{\text{ref}}
\]

* If part of the Normal Maximum Sea Load is provided by shaft generators, \( SFC_{ME} \) and \( C_{FME} \) may – for that part of the power – be used instead of \( SFC_{AE} \) and \( C_{FAE} \).

** In case of \( P_{PTI(i)}>0 \), the average weighted value of \( (SFC_{ME} \cdot C_{FME}) \) and \( (SFC_{AE} \cdot C_{FAE}) \) to be used for calculation of \( P_{\text{eff}} \).
Future required EEDI will be reduced stepwise

No required EEDI and no reduction rate below “cut-off”, but attained EEDI must be calculated for all new ships > 400 GT

Small ships segment, reduction rate depend linearly interpolated on dwt.

EEDI requirement for container vessels

- Reference line - 2013
- Phase 1 - 2015
- Phase 2 - 2020
- Phase 3 - 2025

DWT (deadweight tonnage)
g CO₂ / (t*nm)
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IMO Measures to reduce GHG - Emissions

IMO is committed taking the lead in developing GHG reduction strategies and mechanisms for international shipping.

This has been activated on several layers:

1. Technical measures \( \rightarrow \) EEDI (for new ships)

2. Operational measures \( \rightarrow \) SEEMP (EEOI, voluntary)

3. Market based measures \( \rightarrow \) various have been discussed (e.g. ETS, fund, bunker surcharge, ...)

"The MBM debate is dead for the time being"

*new work Item adopted at MEPC 65:*
‘Further technical and operational measures for enhancing energy efficiency of international shipping’
UNFCCC mandate

- IMO was invited to address GHG emissions in shipping sector*

- Slow progress in GHG debate at IMO is accounted to the different opinions on the working principle of IMO, called: “No more favourable treatment” (NMFT), which is in conflict to the UNFCCC principle of “Common but differentiated responsibilities” (CBDR).

UNFCCC – United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change
GHG – Greenhouse gases

* Assembly Resolution A.963(23)
Further technical and operational measures at IMO

As MBM discussion stalled at IMO a group of so-called “like minded states” wants to advance the “energy efficiency” for the existing fleet...

“MRV” is the basis for all proposals, but be aware of the data details and the outset about what is defined as the “limit” at the end (used as ‘efficiency metrics’) ... 

US submitted a proposal for MEPC 64 (revised by MEPC 65/4/19) and added by three further proposals to MEPC 65.

Three proposals make use of a *phased approach*:

1. **Data Collection and Analysis Phase**
2. **Pilot Phase**
3. **Full Implementation**
Further technical and operational measures at IMO

the Proposals and their “efficiency criteria”:

1. US: evaluation criteria by “Service hours or distance sailed”
   - no real info about how it would work under Phases II and III

2. Japan: evaluation criteria by “Annual EEOI”
   - also not foreseeable where limits could be set for phase II / III

3. EU: efficiency indicator “distance travelled”
   - limit criteria is compared to a scheme where the ship efficiency is defined once by the methodology of the EEDI-reference line calculation. The targets for an individual ship are related to their initial efficiency.

   - limit criteria is derived from 2007 IMO GHG data for ship types / sizes and can be calculated right now
   - each ship get’s a limit amount of fuel and shall reduce in intervals by x %
what remains to be done
DNV·GL activity
DNV·GL maritime R&D focus areas

- **SAFER** – reducing risk extreme loads and structural integrity risk-based regulatory framework safe operations and human element complex machinery and data systems

- **GREENER** – reducing emissions emission management energy efficiency alternative fuels hybrid ships

- **SMARTER** – reducing downtime ship and fleet management tools future inspection technologies advanced simulation tools
Long Term Commitment based on shared vision, an example

- To achieve EU 2020 targets of a smart, green and inclusive economy together with the long term vision of the EU Commission’s Transport White Paper and address the industry LeaderSHIP2020 objectives, the initiative “Vessels for the Future” is currently being shaped.
  - Focus on safety and environmental aspects in ship design and operation, requiring R&D efforts characterized by a long term horizon
  - Assemble a critical mass of industry stakeholders and leadership at EU level
  - Achieve novel technologies and system solutions through ensuring industrial competitiveness in a long term perspective

- Specific objectives towards 2020 are:
  - Environment: Vessel’s overall CO₂ reduction of 30%; NOₓ and SOₓ reduction of 80% and underwater noise reduction of 3 dB (compared to the levels of 2013).
  - Safety: Vessel’s safety improvement through implementing an innovative risk based framework and continuously applying Formal Safety Assessment.
  - Integrate and demonstrate some 40 new technologies
Long Term Commitment based on shared vision

...and many others...
Thank you for your kind attention.
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SAFER, SMARTER, GREENER
Back-up & other material
EEDI Calculation - formula acc. IMO (Res. MEPC.212(63))

\[
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i=1}^{nME} P_{ME(i)} \cdot C_{FME(i)} \cdot SFC_{ME(i)} + (P_{AE} \cdot C_{FAE} \cdot SFC_{AE} & \ast) + \left( \prod_{j=1}^{M} f_j \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{nPTI} P_{PTI(i)} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{npt} f_{i(i)} \cdot P_{AEeff(i)} \right) \cdot C_{FAE} \cdot SFC_{AE} \\
- \sum_{i=1}^{npt} f_{i(i)} \cdot P_{AEeff(i)} \cdot C_{FME} \cdot SFC_{ME} 
\end{align*}
\]

\(f_i \cdot \text{Capacity} \cdot V_{\text{ref}} \cdot f_w\)

CO\(_2\) emission main engine

CO\(_2\) emission auxiliary engines

innovative propulsion technology (kite, etc.)

transportation work

SHAFT MOTOR \(P_{PTI}\)

SHAFT GENERATOR \(P_{PTO}\)

WASTE HEAT RECOVERY etc. \(P_{AEeff}\)

MAIN ENGINE \(P_{ME}\)

MAIN ENGINE PUMPS (2.5% \(P_{ME}\))

ACCOMMODATION (250 kW)

SHAFT POWER \(P_s\)
The EU MRV-scheme

MRV: EC-Scope

- Ship voyages related to the EU
- Flag-neutrality
- Exclusion of small emitters below 5000 GT
- Exclusion of special ships (e.g. military, fishing)
- Focus on CO₂ as predominant greenhouse gas emission from ships
- Ship efficiency
  (expressed by different indicators) and related information
  (distance, cargo carried, time spent at sea)
The EU MRV-scheme

on “using existing documents and tools” *

1. Bunker Delivery Note
   it should be checked on how this could work in regional context

2. Tank Sounding
   the workable solution in our view

3. Flow Meters
   on some ships existing and increases the accuracy.

4. Emission Measurement
   A future outlook?
   Are their systems available that have proven survival in practical marine life? Claimed higher accuracy level is questions compared to Flow Meters!

* derived from MEPC 65/Inf3 - CO₂ fuel monitoring opportunities (IMarEST)
The EU MRV-scheme

on the “Compliance cycle”

Verifier

Submit monitoring plan and emissions reports

Issue a document of compliance

Inform about issuance of document of compliance

EU central body

Submit verified emissions reports

Ship

Appropriate follow-up measures

National competent authority

Provide information on compliance
The EU MRV-scheme

on “Verification”

- Accreditation of independent verifier by national accreditation body
  
  Details of accreditation process are not clear and how about national accreditation bodies?

- Verifier's tasks:
  - Ensure the conformity of the monitoring plan with provisions of this Regulation
  - Ensure that the monitoring is done in accordance with the monitoring plan
  - Ensure that the reporting is done in accordance with provisions of this Regulation
  - Issue a document of compliance

  Details of monitoring plan are not clear and who is submitting the data to the central database?
**NO$_x$-emissions**

**qualitative behavior:**

![Graph showing NO$_x$-emissions vs. engine load for 4-stroke and 2-stroke engines.](image)

- **Specific NO$_x$ Emission [g/kWh] vs. Engine Load:**
  - **25%**
  - **50%**
  - **75%**
  - **100%**

**Lines:**
- **4-stroke**
- **2-stroke**
Conclusion
Future outlook for a sustainable “greener” shipping

- The maritime market goes „for green“
  - several shipowner designing their new buildings „greener“ than required
  - Classification Societies should offer consultancy, voluntary class signs & Guidelines accordingly to ensure the required safety level of shipping
  - in this context IMO regulations will not be the only driver for new developments

- Efficient ships are the solution to minimise emission to air
  - efficient designs and operations will become of major importance

- The role of Classes
  - Class societies must provide clear Rules & Guidelines to keep shipping safe
  - Class societies should accompany this trend as an independent partner
as explained on the MRV example, GL offers assistance:
- with sound expert know how
- acting as interface with technical expertise between various maritime stakeholders / politics
- for decision making process sound knowledge basis is prerequisite

We welcome the initiatives by BSF as:
- we can help to stimulate knowledge based new approaches
- communication is one of the key parameter to identify and enable sustainable shipping, as all maritime stakeholders are sitting in the same boat
Class example: a vision for sustainable shipping

usage of wind power:

- **surplus** of wind energy/electricity
- Electrolysis $\rightarrow$ hydrogen $H_2$
- $LH_2$ for short sea shipping

direct usage of wind power for shipping:

- Skysails
- Enercon – E-Ship 1
Conclusion

- DNV·GL is well prepared to support our customers for an up-coming MRV-scheme. We have extensive experience, dated back from 2008 with operational CO₂-Indexing.

- DNV·GL developed an own voluntary MRV-scheme with product launch at SMM 2012* called: “Environmental Passport – Operation”

- DNV·GL stands ready for a practical MRV-Solution and offers constructive support to stakeholders

* one month before EC announced legal MRV-plans