Actions by the North East Atlantic Fisheries Commission against Illegal, Unreported and Unregulated Fishing Vessels

Kjartan Hoydal, NEAFC, London
Professional background

- Coming from the Faroe Islands
- Degree in Marine Ecology, Univ. of Copenhagen
- Participated actively in the international processes in FAO, UN and regional cooperation
Global Overview - Straddling Fish Stocks

- RFMO Boundary
- Proposed Regulatory Area (not yet adopted or not yet in force)
- Other Unregulated High Seas Areas where Straddling Fish Stocks Occur
- CCAMLR
- NAFO Regulatory Area
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World Ocean Day 8 June – Our Oceans our Responsibility
NEAFC, the Regional Fisheries Management Organisation of the North East Atlantic, celebrates the World Ocean Day by marking 10 years of progress in long time conservation and management of fish resources and fisheries in the North East Atlantic.
Task at hand

- States on their own or cooperating through Regional Fisheries Management Organisations, RFMOs establish fisheries management systems in the high seas supporting and compatible with systems in sea areas under national jurisdiction.

- These include agreeing on science based management measures and establishing Monitoring Surveillance and Control to make sure that the measures are respected.

- In NEAFC the central MSC feature is the Scheme of Control and Enforcement entering into force in 2000.
Facts about NEAFC

- It reflects the discussions and negotiations at the time UNCLOS was drafted.
- There are at present 5 Contracting Parties, Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland), the EU, Iceland, Norway, and the Russian Federation.
- NEAFC was “a sleeping beauty” until 1995. The signing of the UNFA sparked new life in the organisation. In 1999 a permanent Secretariat was created.
Updating the NEAFC Convention

- The Contracting Parties of NEAFC updated the 1982 Convention in 2004 (dispute settlement procedures) and 2006 (bringing the Convention in line with developments in international law since the 1980 convention was negotiated). The CPs have agreed to use these as basis for measures on a voluntary basis, until all parties have ratified.

- The preamble of the “New” Convention stresses that NEAFC in addition to managing fisheries has an important role in conservation and integrating environmental concerns into its management.
Updating the NEAFC Convention

- The new preamble recognises the relevant provisions of
- UNFA 1995
- The Compliance Agreement
Updating the NEAFC Convention

New Article 2: The objective of this Convention is to ensure the long-term conservation and optimum utilisation of the fishery resources in the Convention Area, providing sustainable economic, environmental and social benefits.
NEAFC performance review

You don’t have to take my word for how NEAFC is performing with respect to MSC. An independent review was undertaken in 2006. The Panel gave a favourable comment to the NEAFC Scheme of Control and Enforcement, summarised below:

“The Panel had noted the positive developments over the last decade and NEAFC’s ability to act quickly to address specific issues by using new technologies, monitoring Contracting Party vessels, addressing IUU activity and stepping up control in ports”
Access to ports as a measure in combating IUU fishing

- The objective is to protect the rights of legal fishermen operating under national and regional, modern and efficient fisheries management against free riding.
- Major IUU problems in the NEAFC Convention area have been unreported catches of **cod from the Barents sea** and IUU fishing by flags of convenience for **redfish in the Irminger sea**.
- In the NEAFC Scheme of Control and Enforcement regulation of access to ports was introduced in 2005.
Combating IUU fishing - Protecting against free riders

From 2001 problems were identified with unreported catches of pelagic redfish. There were observations of individual vessels from nations not reporting catches to international organisations like ICES/NEAFC/FAO/NAFO. EC project (IMPAST) EC JRC satellite analysis estimated that approx. 27% to 33% more vessels were found in the area than were reporting to NEAFC
Redfish
Redfish in the Irminger Sea

![Map of Redfish in the Irminger Sea](image)
Blacklisting

- The Scheme of Control and Enforcement was changed in 2004 introducing two IUU blacklists: the observation A-list and the permanent B-list.

- The Contracting Party which sighted the non-Contracting Party vessel shall attempt to inform such a vessel without delay that it has been sighted or by other means identified as engaging in fishing activities in the Convention Area and unless its flag state has been accorded the status of co-operating non-Contracting Party provided for under Article 34, is consequently presumed to be undermining the Recommendations established under the Convention.
Blacklisting

- The Secretary shall transmit all information ..... all Contracting Parties and other relevant Regional Fisheries Management Organisations within one business day of receiving this information, and as soon as possible to the flag state of the vessel identified as being engaged in fishing activities in the Convention Area.

- The Secretary shall, in consultation with the President of the Commission, request that it take measures in accordance with its applicable legislation to ensure that the vessel or vessels in question desist from any activities that undermine the effectiveness of NEAFC Recommendations, including if necessary, the withdrawal of the registration of these vessels or their authorisation to engage in fishing activities.
Pan Atlantic Blacklist

- NEAFC has arrangement with NAFO to the west and SEAFO in the South Atlantic to include vessels on their IUU lists in the NEAFC list and vice-versa.
- This means that the number of states refusing the IUU vessels to enter their ports is expanded considerably.
# The B-list

## NEAFC B-list 2005 - 2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vessel Category</th>
<th>Transport</th>
<th>Fishing</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Removed from the lists</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scrapped</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retained in harbours</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probably sunk</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fate unknown</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating outside NEAFC Area</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Observed recently</strong></td>
<td><strong>2</strong></td>
<td><strong>2</strong></td>
<td><strong>2</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>5</strong></td>
<td><strong>21</strong></td>
<td><strong>26</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P.S. 1. Vessels may belong to more than one category.
One case

1. A reefer, Panama flagged, ended on the B-list because it transhipped from a fishing vessel on the B-list
One Case

- NEAFC Event log
  - 09/06/2006 Sighted by ISL Transhipping SCH 06/26
  - 12/06/2006 Letter sent to Panama
  - 09/06/2006 Put on NEAFC A List
  - 04/07/2006 Letter from ISL regarding OBS
  - 22/08/2006 Info from NAFO & from EU re transhipping to IUU vessels
    19/07/2006 OBS by ISL surveillance aircraft in RA. Transhipping IUU vessels
  - 25/08/2006 Info from ISL
  - 30/08/2006 Information from Charterer. Vessel heading for the Pacific, relevant authorities contacted
  - 31/08/2006 Instruction to proceed sailing back to Tokyo to discharge cargo
  - 04/09/2006 Drifting outside Japan waters
  - 04/09/2006 Information from Charterer SCH
  - 15/09/2006 Instruction to discharge in Hong Kong
Further developments

- The vessel in the return trip took on board a perfectly legitimate cargo in Alaska for delivery in Europe.
- Was turned away in Europe. Went to Morocco where the vessels was seized by the military and the crew jailed.
- Lot of diplomatic activity to sort out the mess
- 2 years after the vessel taken off the black list
Access to ports as a measure in combating IUU fishing

- The problem with IUU fishery for Barents Sea cod has to a large extent been mitigated by the NEAFC Port State Control System introduced 1 May 2007.

- In 2005 blacklists were introduced. Vessels on the blacklists were denied access to ports and services in the NEAFC area. This was specifically aimed at the IUU redfish fishery by non-Contracting Parties.
1 May 2007  Port state control expanded

- The new port state control regulations set up the following stages for landings of frozen fish in foreign ports. The Port State Control system

- Each Contacting Party has to designate certain ports for landings of frozen fish for foreign vessels.

- Since 1 May 2007 over 3400 landings have been certified and can be reviewed by all Contracting Parties on the NEAFC website.

- The system have got much praise from the industry in Europe.
Port state control - Paper flow

Port state control of foreign vessels
Article 22-23 of the consolidated Scheme entering into force 1 May 2007

- Master sends notification PSC 1 or PSC 2 to port state
- Possible change in notification period
- Port state forwards forms to flag state(s)
- Confirmation from flag state(s) in PSC1 or 2
- Authorisation or not by Port state
- Message to Secretariat and website
- Message about decision to Secretariat and website
- 3 working days
The Transparent PSC file at www.neafc.org

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>form</th>
<th>Port</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Date_Posted</th>
<th>Vessel</th>
<th>Info</th>
<th>Donor</th>
<th>Vessel</th>
<th>Call sign</th>
<th>Flag</th>
<th>Vessel</th>
<th>Call sign</th>
<th>Flag</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>File_Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2602</td>
<td>PSC2</td>
<td>Velsen</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>26/02/2009</td>
<td>Arctic Princess</td>
<td>UHTA</td>
<td>RUS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td>View File</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2601</td>
<td>PSC2</td>
<td>Velsen</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>26/02/2009</td>
<td>Arctic Princess</td>
<td>UHTA</td>
<td>RUS</td>
<td>Strelets</td>
<td>UDHM</td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td>View File</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2600</td>
<td>PSC2</td>
<td>Velsen</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>26/02/2009</td>
<td>Arctic Princess</td>
<td>UHTA</td>
<td>RUS</td>
<td>Kapitan Shaytanov</td>
<td>UFYN</td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td>View File</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2599</td>
<td>PSC2</td>
<td>Velsen</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>26/02/2009</td>
<td>Arctic Princess</td>
<td>UHTA</td>
<td>RUS</td>
<td>Kapitan Durschenko</td>
<td>UEUP</td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td>View File</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2598</td>
<td>PSC1</td>
<td>Melbu</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>26/02/2009</td>
<td>Nerey</td>
<td>UGGA</td>
<td>RUS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td>View File</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2597</td>
<td>PSC1</td>
<td>Hammerfest</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>26/02/2009</td>
<td>Anatoly Guganov</td>
<td>UBZO</td>
<td>RUS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td>View File</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2596</td>
<td>PSC1</td>
<td>Tromsø</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>26/02/2009</td>
<td>Kapitan Naumov</td>
<td>UATS</td>
<td>RUS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td>View File</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2595</td>
<td>PSC1</td>
<td>Vadsø</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>26/02/2009</td>
<td>Azurit</td>
<td>UEMQ</td>
<td>RUS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td>View File</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2593</td>
<td>PSC3</td>
<td>Hafnarfjörður</td>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>25/02/2009</td>
<td>Arctic Viking</td>
<td>OW2399</td>
<td>FRO</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Inspected</td>
<td>View File</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2592</td>
<td>PSC3</td>
<td>Sortland</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>25/02/2009</td>
<td>UTEOA</td>
<td>RUS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Inspected</td>
<td>View File</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Port state control Results

WWF News Centre

Major success in the fight against illegal fishing
23 Apr 2008

Efforts to clamp down on illegal fishing for cod in the Barents Sea are paying off - with a 50% cut in illegal fishing since 2005.

According to Norwegian government figures, more than 100,000 tonnes of illegal cod, valued at €225 million ($US350 million), was caught in the Barents Sea in 2005. Last year, estimates put illegal landings at about 40,000 tonnes. By way of comparison, the annual legal catch is around 450,000 metric tonnes. The most recent estimate is 10,000 tonnes.
The end